

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/413,348	10/06/1999	NORIHISA FUKUTOMI	Q56091	1912
75	90 01/24/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
WASHINGTO	LVANIA AVENUE NW N, DC 20037		KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$ \mu$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/413,348	FUKUTOMI ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. Kim	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 1/14/02 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper repent which places the application	cation in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)	)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The clave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shorten b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three marned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo than SIX MONTHS from the mailing IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS date on which the petition under 37 ( ension and the corresponding amound led statutory period for reply originally	g date of the final rejection.  OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exity of the fee. The appropriate exity set in the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		<u> </u>	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claim	ms.
NOTE: Deletion of "for" changes the scope of	the claimed invention.		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje</li></ol>	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because: _		n considered but does NO	OT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2.6 and 7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-5.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	-1
0. Other:		Lesla DI	Morin
		Lesley D. Morris Primary Examiner みん ) 75	